

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,279	07/22/2003	Clifton Lind	0988.1039010	0988.1039010 7465	
35236	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
THE CULBERTSON GROUP, P.C.			NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC		
1114 LOST CI SUITE 420	REEK BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78746		3714		

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/624,279	LIND ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Attension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>9/28/06</u>. A brief in cor of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further companies.</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE believe)		12 50.01.7,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	and the second s	inatah dalama					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		ompliant / inchament	(1 102 02 1).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,9-14,16-21,23,26 and 27</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SP/09) Paper No/s)						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
13. L. Other							
XI DANI M. THAI							
	SUPERVISORY P	ATENT EXAMINER	1				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Morrow et al. (2003/0064771) and Alcorn et al. (6,620,047) and reasons of obviousness set forth in the Final Rejection sent June 28, 2006 do teach towards limitations claimed by the applicants. Particularly, Morrow et al. teaches the gaming system and method (having means or steps thereto) comprising a number of gaming machines, each gaming machine (10) including a respective game presentation arrangement having a multiple game video displays (30, 60); and Alcorn et al. teaches and a player control panel having control buttons (40) located below the game video display and forming a ledge projecting from a plane of a game video display; and the control buttons could be touch screen button. Further, since Alcorn et al. suggest the a slant-top player control interface can be used, it is obvious to utilize the slant-top video screen together with the mechanical player control devices mounted ledge (38). Referring to the feature of arranging the video displays, this is a design choice because orienting the displays differently within the gaming machine does not effect or bring unexpected results to the outcome of the game.

The Amendment wil be entered to correct typographical error of claim 21.